

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/008,591	11/13/2001	Stephen M. Dershem	QUANT1350 (028248-2301)	2455	
30542	7590 08/12/2003				
FOLEY & LARDNER			EXAM	EXAMINER	
	P.O. BOX 80278 SAN DIEGO, CA 92138-0278		RAYMOND, RICHARD L		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1624		
			DATE MAILED: 08/12/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	$\sim$					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/008,591	DERSHEM ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Richard L. Raymond	1624				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cov r sheet with the	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be till by within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a, cause the application to become ABANDONE.	mely filed ys will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>09</u> .	July 2003 .					
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ Th	nis action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims  4\\\ Claim(a) 1.25 is/ore pending in the application	2					
<ul> <li>4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-35 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) 20-35 is/are withdrawn from consideration.</li> </ul>						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on	_ is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disappr	oved by the Examiner.				
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	a)-(d) or (f).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 5	5) Notice of Informal	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				
	<del>-</del>					

Application/Control Number: 10/008,591

Art Unit: 1624

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Election/Restrictions

- 1. Claims 20-35 stand withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim.

  Applicant timely traversed the restriction (election) requirement in Paper No. 7. Applicant's traversal been considered but it is maintained that an intermediate-final product relationship is involved. Note also the claims of applicant's patents cited on the form PTO-1449.
- 2. The requirement for election of species is herein withdrawn.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Claims 1-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. (1) A comma is missing between the alkylene and oxyalkylene groups in the Sp definition in claims 1, 3-8, 18 and 19. (2) In the formula of claim 1, if m is optional, then no  $Ar(R_x)(Q)_n$  would be present. Apparently, m should be 1-2. (3) The R, R' and R'' groups are defined merely "at least one of R, R' or R" is a polymerizable group". What are the groups that are not the polymerizable groups? Correction and/or clarification of the above are requested.

Application/Control Number: 10/008,591

Art Unit: 1624

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102 / 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 1-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over either of Ishida '516 or the Ishida Vol. 69 article, cited by applicants, or any of the Chemical Abstracts articles cited on the Form PTO-892. These references disclose benzoxazines substituted with -CH<sub>2</sub>CH=CH<sub>2</sub> and -(CH<sub>2</sub>)<sub>3</sub>Si groups. See Examples 4 and 6 in the patent and Schemes 1-3 in the article and the corresponding STN/CAS printouts of the Chemical Abstracts articles. Where not anticipated, one would be motivated to prepare the present compounds from the generic teachings of the references and/or to prepare the present specific homologs, analogs and isomers of the specific compounds of the references. In the absence of a showing of unexpected properties, no patentable significance is seen in the present selection.
- 7. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various

Application/Control Number: 10/008,591

Art Unit: 1624

claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Richard L. Raymond whose telephone number is (703) 308-4523. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday (9:30AM-8:00PM)).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mukund J. Shah can be reached on 305-4716. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 308-4556 for regular communications and (703) 308-4556 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1235.

Richard L. Raymond

Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1624

rr

August 8, 2003